This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- · SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,805	06/27/2001	Brian Lee	2001 P 11064US (8055-59) 3544		
7:	590 09/12/2003				
FRANK CHA	,	EXAMINER			
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP 1900 HEMPSTEAD TURNPIKE			CYGAN, MICHAEL T		
SUITE 501 EAST MEADO	OW, NY 11554	•	ART UNIT PAPER NU		
	•		2855		
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

at					W.			
		Application No).	Applicant(s)	16-			
Office Action Summary		09/892,805		LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael Cygan		2855				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cov	er sneet with the d	correspondence ad	aress /			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, hor t. a reply within the statutory meriod will apply and will expiratute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin inimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 July 2003 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-	final.					
3) <mark>□</mark> Disposit	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice union of Claims				e merits is			
· _	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		eration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.		•					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requir	ement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2001 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)	objected to by t	he Examiner.				
—	Applicant may not request that any objection t	•	<u> </u>		•			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		, ,	oved by the Examine	er.			
40)[]	If approved, corrected drawings are required i		ction.					
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under a	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(a) or (t).				
а)	All b) Some * c) None of:		-:					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
			• •		Ctoro			
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) 🗌 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).			
	 The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 							
Attachmer	at(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5)	Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper Not Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/892,805

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamanaka (US 6,006,593) in view of Mizutani (US 6,304,319 B1) and in view of Weling (US 5,757,502). Yamanaka discloses a method and system for measuring physical properties of LSI (Large-Scale-Integrated Circuit) wafers with a cantilever comprising providing a feature (on a substrate such as a LSI wafer) having features of different elasticity, applying acoustic energy (i.e., stress) to the wafer by vibrating an AFM tip at an ultrasonic frequency, and scanning the sample wafer with the tip using optical deflection detection to determine the position and elasticity (i.e., stress fields caused by the applied stress) of surface features. See entire document, especially Figures 2, 3, and 8; column 2 lines 14-29;

Art Unit: 2855

column 3 lines 7-60; column 4 lines 40-57; column 5 lines 45-52; and column 8 lines 5-13. Yamanaka teaches the claimed invention except for aligning the feature with a feature on a mask, and that the substrate is a semiconductor.

With respect to the substrate being a semiconductor, Weling teaches that the "starting material for typical ICs is very high purity semiconductor". Since Yamanaka teaches the use of ICs (integrated circuit wafers) as the studied substrate, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use semiconductor ICs as taught by Weling in the invention taught by Yamanaka as the studied substrate, since Weling teaches that such material is "typical" in the art.

Mizutani teaches the formation of alignment features on a substrate and the application of AFM measurement to determine the position of surface features on substrates and aligning those features with marks on a mask using movement stages positioning substrate and mask; see abstract, column 1, lines 21-27; column 3, lines 41-55; and (for AFM measurement) column 10, lines 46-50. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use mask-substrate alignment steps as taught by Mizutani in the invention taught by Yamanaka to align LSI wafers with masks during processing, since Mizutani teaches that such mask-substrate alignment steps are "common" in the semiconductor manufacture art (see column 1, lines 21-

Art Unit: 2855

27) and thus would provide a desirable application for feature recognition methods.

With respect to AFM resolution of less than 20 nm as set forth in claims 8, 15, and 21, it is notoriously well known in the AFM art that features less than 20 nm can be resolved, and it would therefore have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an AFM capable of 20 nm resolution.

With respect to claims 6, 12, and 18, Yamanaka teaches the claimed invention except for pattern recognition. Weling teaches the use of optical pattern recognition to decipher the location of a surface feature on an integrated circuit chip in relation to the AFM; see column 7, lines 13-20 and Figure 10. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use pattern recognition as taught by Weling in the invention taught by Yamanaka to locate measured surface features using optical pattern recognition, since this is taught to allow alignment of the AFM probe (which measures sub-micrometer features of a substrate) to absolute x and y coordinates and thus be related to macroscopic features of a substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,805 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 9-11, 13, 16, 17, and 19
have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of
rejection.

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 30 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Mizutani teaches detection light and therefore teaches away (presumably, from the use of AFM detection) from the claimed invention. However, as set forth in the previous rejection, Mizutani teaches the use of AFM measurement at column 10, lines 46-50 as an alternative to optical detection means.
- 4. In response to applicant's argument that Weling is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Weling relates to the field of measuring the surface profile and alignment of semiconductor wafers using AFM, as does the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,805 Page 6

Art Unit: 2855

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE
 FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 6. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Phan (US 6,559,457 B1) discloses aligning a semiconductor wafer with a wafer stage using a reference mark through AFM scanning.

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is 703-305-0846. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 703-305-4816. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Michael Cygan Examiner Art Unit 2855